

Application Serial No. 09/937,591
Reply to Office Action of June 14, 2005

PATENT
Docket: CU-2651

REMARKS

In the Office Action, dated June 14, 2005, the Examiner states that Claims 1, 4-8 and 10-25 are pending and Claims 1, 4-8 and 10-25 are rejected. By the present Amendment, Applicant amends the claims.

In the Office Action, Claims 1, 4-8 and 10-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Claims 1, 4-8 and 10-25 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Applicant has amended the claims as suggested by the Examiner to overcome these rejections.

In the Office Action, Claims 1, 4-8 and 21-25 are rejected in view of the cited prior art references. However, Claim 20 is indicated as allowable, as are Claims 4-8, 10-19, 21 and 22 if rewritten to depend from Claim 20. The Applicant has amended the claims to cancel all the claims except for Claim 20, and added new Claims 26-42 which depend from Claim 20 and correspond to the previous Claims 4-8, 10-19, 21 and 22.

The Applicant files this amendment with prejudice to the claims remaining in the present application, or to the claims filed in any divisional or continuation application.


Application Serial No. 09/937,591
Reply to Office Action of June 14, 2005

PATENT
Docket: CU-2651

In light of the foregoing response, all the outstanding objections and rejections are considered overcome. Applicant respectfully submits that this application should now be in condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

September 13, 2005
Date



Attorney for Applicant
Brian W. Hameder
c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300
Reg. No. 45613